IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BRAND MARKETING GROUP, LLC doing business as THERMABLASTER.

business as THERMABLASTER,	
Plaintiff,	12cv1572 ELECTRONICALLY FILED
v.	
INTERTEK TESTING SERVICES doing business as INTERTEK TES SERVICES	
Defendant.	
	Verdict Slip
I. Plaintiff's Two Claims/Cau	uses of Action
 Did the Defendant, Intertek Testi to Plaintiff, Brand Marketing Group 	ing Services NA ("Intertek") fraudulently misrepresent itself o ("Brand")?
YES	NO
2. Did Intertek negligently misrepre	esent itself to Brand?
YES	NO
	or both of Verdict Questions No. $1-2$, regarding liability of stal amount of damages sustained by Brand, without:
a. Compensatory Damages to Verdict Questions 1-2)	(to compensate Brand for harm caused by Intertek pursuant
(1) Past Damages - S(2) Future Damages	\$ - \$
b. Punitive Damages - \$	

II. <u>Defendant's Three Counterclaims/Causes of Action</u>

A. First Counterclaim/Cause of Action

4a. Did Brand infringe u Mark) of Intertek under t	upon the intellectual property trademark rights (ethe Lanham Act?	ex. Intertek's ETL Listed
YES	NO	
the infringement willful	s," to Verdict Question Number 4a, answer the f (meaning deliberate, fraudulent, and/or malicious er 4a, please do not answer Question Numbers 4b	is)? If you answered
YES	NO	
Intertek on the trademark	s" to Verdict Question Number 4a, regarding liak infringement claims under the Lanham Act, planined by Intertek, without duplicating the damage	ease state the total
 Compensatory Verdict Question 	Damages (to compensate Intertek for harm cau Number 4a).	sed by Brand under
(a) Past I (b) Future	Damages - \$ e Damages - \$	
B. Second Coun	nterclaim/Cause of Action	
5a. Did Brand fraudulen	atly misrepresent itself to Intertek?	
YES	NO	

•	ion Number 5a, regarding liability of Brand to counterclaim, please state the amount of damages e damages as follows:
1. Compensatory Damages (to comp Verdict Question Number 5a).	bensate Intertek for harm caused by Brand under
(a) Past Damages - \$	
2. Punitive Damages - \$	
C. Third Counterclaim/Cause of A	Action
6a. Did Brand fraudulently conceal informa	tion from Intertek?
YES	NO
Intertek for fraudulently concealing informa sustained by Intertek, without duplicating th 1. Compensatory Damages (to comp Brand pursuant to Verdict Question 2)	ensate Intertek for harm caused by Number 6a).
(a) Past Damages - \$	
2. Punitive Damages - \$	
SO SAID BY ALL	
Dated:	
Signed by all jurors:	